

Self-Mappings of Banach Spaces with Unique Common Fixed Point

Dr. P. Thirunavukarasu

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics,
Thanthai Periyar Government Arts and Science College (Autonomous)
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University) Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.
e-Mail: ptavinash1967@gmail.com

S. Savitha

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Kongu Arts and Science College (Autonomous) Erode, Tamilnadu, India.
(Affiliated to Bharathiar University)
e-Mail: savithamaths85@gmail.com

Dr. R. Muruganandam,

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics,
Thanthai Periyar Government Arts and Science College (Autonomous)
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University) Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.
e-Mail: muruganraju1980@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Fixed point theorems for self mappings of a convex subset in Banach Spaces are considered in this manuscript. The out-turn hypothesize and enlarge the sequel due to Fisher [2] and Gregus [4]. Mapping which considers here is not necessarily commuting and given some Examples to support the outcome of the work.

Keywords: Banach Space, Fixed point theorem, Unique fixed point, self-Mapping

Introduction:

Several authors have been carried out in analyzing the influence of fixed point theorems self-mappings of a closed subset of a Banach space both at single-valued and multi-valued maps. In contrast most of the applications do not involve self-mapping of a closed set. A non-expansive mapping contains contraction mappings and is contained under all continuous mappings. Browder [1], Gohde [3] and Kirk [6] have proved a fixed point theorem for non-expansive mappings on a closed, bounded and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space and in spaces with richer structure.

In this manuscript, deliberate the use of fixed point theorems for self-mappings of Banach space with unique common fixed point. Solution of Fisher [2] succeeded by Gregus [4], Hardy and Rogers [5] findings have been referred during the study.

Prefatory:

Definition 1:

Let R and S be two self-mappings of a Banach space X . The pair $\{R, S\}$ to be weakly commuting if $\|RSx-SRx\| \leq \|Rx-Sx\|$, for all $x \in X$.

Let X is a Banach space and C , a closed convex subset of X .

Lemma 1:

Let R, S be self-maps of C such that $\|Rx-x\| \leq \|Ry-y\|$ (1)

If and only if $\|Sx-x\| \leq \|Sy-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$.

Then

$\inf \{ \max \{ \|Rx-x\|, \|Sx-x\| \} : x \in C \} = \max \{ \inf \{ \|Rx-x\| : x \in C \}, \inf \{ \|Sx-x\| : x \in C \} \}.$

Proof:

If for any $x \in C$,

Put $A(x) = \max \{ \|Rx-x\|, \|Sx-x\| \}$, $m = \inf \{ A(x) : x \in C \}$ and

$p = \inf \{ \|Rx-x\| : x \in C \}$,

$q = \inf \{ \|Sx-x\| : x \in C \}.$

Since $\max \{ p, q \} < M(x), x \in C, \Rightarrow \max \{ s, t \} \leq m.$

Suppose $\max \{ p, q \} < m.$

Then there exist $x \in C, y \in C$ such that

$$\|Rx-x\| < s + m - s = m, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\|Sy-y\| < t + m - t = m. \quad (3)$$

$$\Rightarrow M(x) = \|Sx-x\|, M(y) = \|Ry-y\|.$$

$$M(x) \geq m \text{ and } M(y) \geq m, \text{ from (2) and (3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Rx-x\| < \|Ry-y\|, \text{ and } \|Sy-y\| < \|Sx-x\|,$$

This is a contradiction to (1)

$$\Rightarrow \max \{ s, t \} = m.$$

Accordingly, the result follows.

Contractive condition considered here is a slight variant of that studied by Hardy and Rogers [5].

Main Results:

Theorem 1:

Let R, S be self mappings of C satisfying (1) and

$$\|Rx-Sy\| \leq a \|x-y\| + b \max \{\|Rx-x\|, \|Ry-y\|\} + c \max \{\|Rx-x\| + \|x-y\|, \|Sy-y\| + \|x-y\|\} \quad (4)$$

For all $x, y \in C$, a, b, c are such that $0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1, c > 0$,

$a + b + 2c - 1$ and $4c(2 - b) < a(1 - a)$.

Then R and S have a unique common fixed point, which is also a unique fixedpoint of both R and S .

Proof:

Let $x \in C$ be arbitrary. From (4), infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|RSx-Rx\| &\leq a \|Sx-x\| + b \max \{\|RSx-Rx\|, \|Rx-x\|\} \\ &\quad + c \max \{\|RSx-Rx\| + \|Rx-x\|, \|Rx-x\| + \|Rx-x\|\}, \\ \Rightarrow \|RSx-Rx\| &\leq \|Sx-x\| \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Analogously, } \|SRx-Rx\| \leq \|Rx-x\| \quad (6)$$

Since (5) and (6) detain for any $x \in C$.

Also acquire

$$\|RSRx-SRx\| \leq \|SRx-Rx\| \leq \|Rx-x\| \text{ and}$$

$$\|SRSx-RSx\| \leq \|RSx-Sx\| \leq \|Rx-x\|$$

Also in (1), yield

$$\|SSRx-SRx\| \leq \|Rx-x\| \quad (7)$$

$$\text{And } \|RRSx-SRx\| \leq \|Rx-x\| \quad (8)$$

Prescribe a point z as

$$z = \frac{1}{2} SRx + \frac{1}{2} SSRx. \quad (9)$$

(7) and (9),

$$\Rightarrow 2\|SRx-z\| = 2\|RSRx-x\| = \|RRSx-RSx\| \leq \|Rx-x\|. \quad (10)$$

C -Convex, $z \in C$ and using (4), (6), (7) and (10),

$$\Rightarrow 2\|Rz-z\| \leq \|Rz-SRx\| + \|Rz-SSRx\| \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq a \|Rx-z\| + b \max \{\|Rz-z\|, \|SRx-Rx\|\} + c \max \{\|Rz-Rx\|, \|SRx-z\|\} + a\{\|z-SRx\|\} \\ &\quad + b \max \{\|Rz-z\|, \|SSRx-SRx\|\} + c \max \{\|Rz-SRx\|, \|SSRx-z\|\} \\ &\leq a(\|Rx-z\| + \|z-SRx\|) + 2b \max \{\|Rz-z\|, \|Rx-x\|, \|Sx-x\|\} + 2c \max \{\|Rz-z\| + \|Rx-z\|, \|Rz-z\| \\ &\quad + \|z-SRx\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, using (4), (6) and (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow 2\|Rx-z\| &\leq \|Rx-SRx\| + \|Rx-SSRx\| \\ &\leq \|Rx-x\| + a\|x-SRx\| + b \max \{\|Rx-x\|, \|SSRx-SRx\|\} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + c \max \{ \|SRx - Rx\|, \|x - SSRx\| \} \\
 & \leq (2a+1) \|Rx - x\| + bM(x) + c \max \{ \|Rx - x\|, 3M(x) \} \\
 & \leq (2+a+2c) M(x). \\
 & \Rightarrow (11) \text{ And } (12) \text{ jointly } \Rightarrow \text{ that} \\
 & 2 \|Rz - z\| \leq a(3/2 + a/2 + c) M(x) + 2b \max \{ M(x), \|Rz - z\| \} \\
 & \quad + 2c \max \{ \|Rz - z\| + (1+a/2+c) M(x), \|Rz - z\| + 1/2 M(x) \}. \quad (13)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|Rz - z\| < M(x)$,

Otherwise (13) yield

$$\|Rz - z\| < 1/2 (3a/2 + a^2/2 + 2ac + 2c^2 + 2b + 4c) \|Rz - z\| = \lambda \|Rz - z\| < \|Rz - z\|,$$

Where $0 < \lambda = 1/2 (2 + a^2/2 - a/2 + 4c - 2bc) < 1$,

By the conjecture on constants a, b, c .

$$\Rightarrow \|Rz - z\| \leq \lambda M(x). \quad (14)$$

Putting $h = \inf \{ \|Rz - z\| : z = 1/2 SRx + 1/2 SSRx, x \in C \}$,

by virtue of the Lemma 1, and from (14), We deduce that

$$h \leq \lambda.m = \lambda. \max \{ p, q \}. \text{ Thus}$$

$$h \leq \lambda.q \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Obviously } s \leq h. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, by construe $z' = 1/2 RSx + 1/2 RRSx$ and using (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow 2 \|RSx - z'\| &= 2 \|RRSx - z'\| \\
 &= \|SSRx - SRx\| < \|Rx - x\|.
 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By setting:

$$K = \inf \{ \|Sz' - z'\| : z' = 1/2 RSx + 1/2 SSRx, x \in C \},$$

By handling (4), (5), (8) and (17),

We acquire the inequality:

$$k \leq \lambda.p \quad (18)$$

Resulting evidently

$$k \geq q. \quad (19)$$

Thus (15), (16), (18) and (19), that $p \leq h \leq \lambda.q \leq \lambda.k \leq \lambda^2.p$.

$p=0$ because $0 < \lambda < 1$, and consequently $q = 0$, from (18) and (19).

So each of the sets G_μ and H_μ for every $\mu > 0$ must be nonempty, where

$$G_\mu = \{ x \in C : \|Rx - x\| \leq \mu \},$$

$$H_\mu = \{ x \in C : \|Sx - x\| < \mu \}.$$

$$\text{Further, } \text{diam } G_\mu < (4+c) \cdot \mu/b. \quad (20)$$

From (4) and (6), and for any $x, y \in G_\mu$,

We acquire

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x - y\| &\leq \|x - Rx\| + \|y - Ry\| + \|Rx - SRx\| + \|Ry - SSRx\| \\
 &\leq 3\mu + a \|Rx - x\| + a \|y - y\| + b \max \{ \|Ry - y\|, \|Rx - x\| \} \\
 &\quad + c \max \{ \|y - Rx\| + \|Rx - SRx\|, \|Rx - y\| + \|Ry - y\| \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (3+a+b)\mu + a\|x-y\| + c\{(\|x-y\| + \|x-Rx\| + \mu)\}.$$

$$\leq (4+c)\mu + (a+c) \|x-y\|.$$

From the last inequality, (20) follows, since $a+c = 1 - b$.

Let $H^{-}\sigma$ denote the closure of $H\sigma$ for any $\sigma > 0$, choose $x \in H^{-}\sigma$.

Arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a point $y \in H\sigma$ such that $\|x-y\| \leq \epsilon$.

Applying (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|Rx-x\| &\leq \|Rx-Sy\| + \|Sy-y\| + \|x-y\| \\ &\leq a\|x-y\| + b \max \{ \|Rx-x\|, \|Sy-y\| \} \\ &\quad + c \max \{ \|x-y\| + \|y-Sy\|, \|x-y\| + \|Rx-x\| \} + \sigma + \epsilon \\ &\leq (1+a)\epsilon + b \max \{ \|Rx-x\|, \sigma \} + c \max \{ \epsilon + \sigma, \epsilon + \|Rx-x\| \} + \sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If $\|Rx-x\| \leq \sigma$, then $x \in G\sigma \subset G\sigma/a$ since $0 < a < 1$.

If $\|Rx-x\| > \sigma$, infer from (21) that

$$\|Rx-x\| < (1+a+c) \epsilon + (b+c) \|Rx-x\| + \sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Rx-x\| \leq \sigma/a, \epsilon \text{ being arbitrary and } b+c = 1-a.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in G\sigma/a, \text{ that is } H^{-}\sigma \subset G\sigma/a \text{ in each case.}$$

Let $\{\sigma_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of reals for which $\sigma_{(n)} = \sigma_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

So $\{H^{-}\sigma_{(n)}\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of C such that, by (20),

$$\text{diam } H^{-}\sigma_{(n)} \leq \text{diam } G\sigma_{(n)} / a \leq (4+c) \sigma_{(n)} / ab.$$

Clearly, $\text{diam } H^{-}\sigma_{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As X is complete, by the Cantor's Intersection Theorem,

There is a $w \in X$ such that

$$\{w\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{-}\sigma_{(n)} \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G\sigma_{(n)} / a.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Pw-w\| \leq a/7 \text{ for every } n=1,2,\dots, \text{ and so } Pw = w.$$

From (4), acquire

$$\begin{aligned} \|w-Sw\| &= \|Rw-Sw\| \\ &\leq b \max \{ \|Rw-w\|, \|Sw-w\| \} + c \max \{ \|w-Sw\|, \|w-Rw\| \} \\ &= (1-a) \|Sw-w\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Sw = w.$$

So w is a common fixed point of R and S .

Let w' be another fixed point of R .

Then, applying (4) for $x = w$ and $y = w'$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|w'-w\| &= \|Pw'-Qw\| \\ &\leq a \|w'-w\| + b \max \{ \|Pw' - w'\|, \|Tw - w\| \} \\ &\quad + c \max \{ \|w'-Qw\|, \|w-Pw'\| \} - (1-b) \|w'-w\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow w' = w.$$

$$\Rightarrow w \text{ is the unique fixed point of } R.$$

Similarly, one can show that w is the unique fixed point of S .

\Rightarrow Completes the proof

By Theorem 1 for some iterates of S and R .

We have the following.

Theorem 2:

Let $R, S: C \rightarrow C$ satisfying $\|x - P^m x\| \leq \|y - R^m y\|$ if and only if

$\|x - S^l x\| \leq \|y - S^l y\|$, and $\|R^m x - S^l y\| < a\|x - y\| + b \max \{\|P^m x - x\|, \|S^l y - y\|\}$

+ $c \max \{\|R^m x - y\|, \|S^m y - x\|\}$ for all $x, y \in C$, where l, m are positive integers and a, b, c are as in Theorem 1.

Then R and S have a unique common fixed point, which is also the unique fixed point of both R and S .

Proof:

By Theorem 1, the maps $R^m: C \rightarrow C$ and $S^l: C \rightarrow C$ have a unique common fixed point w .

Since $Rw = R(R^mw) = R^m(Rw)$, infer that Rw is also a fixed point of R^m .

Theorem 1, assures that w is also the unique fixed point of R^m , necessarily have $Rw = w$.

Similarly, one can show that $Sw = w$.

So w is the unique common fixed point of R and S .

If w' is another fixed point of R , we have $R^mw' = w'$, but the uniqueness of w implies $w = w'$.

Therefore, w is also the unique fixed point of R as well as for the map S .

Example 1:

Let X be the Banach space of reals with Euclidean norm and $C = [0, 2]$. Define $R, S: C \rightarrow C$ by putting,

$R(x) = 0$ if $0 \leq x < 1$, $R(x) = 3/5$ if $1 \leq x < 2$, $S(x) = 0$ if $0 \leq x < 2$, $S(2) = 9/5$.

Then condition (4) of Theorem 1 does not hold.

Otherwise, taking $x = 1$ and $y = 2$,

We have:

$$\|R1 - S2\| = 6/5$$

$$\leq a(2-1) + b \max \{1-3/5, (2-9/5)\} + c \max \{9/5-1, (2-3/5)\}$$

$$= a + 2/5b + 7/5c$$

$$\leq 3/5a + 2/5 + c.$$

By the assumptions of Theorem 1,

$$\Rightarrow 4c < a(1-a) \cdot (2-b)^{-1} < 1/2,$$

$$\Rightarrow 6/5 \leq 1 + 1/8 = 9/8,$$

This is a contradiction.

However, Theorem 2 is trivially satisfied for $l = m = 2$,

Since $S^2(x) = R^2(x) = 0$ for any $x \in C$.

Remark 1: By assuming $c=0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the main theorem of Fisher [2]. The proof exhibited in [2] inherently assumed the commutativity of the mappings under consideration, even though the author does not explicitly mention such hypothesis.

However, one can drop this extra requirement by modifying the arguments of Fisher [2] as indicated by the proof of our Theorem 1

Remark 2: Assuming $R = S$ in Theorem 1, we obtain a result more general than that of Gregus [4] under a different set of conditions on the mapping S .

Conclusion:

Thus, fixed point theorem for self mapping of a convex subset in Banach Space is analyzed. The mapping considered and analyzed is not commuting and have a unique common fixed point. The example discovered from the result.

References:

1. Browder, F.E.; Non-expansive non-linear operators in Banach space, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 54 (1965), 1041-1044.
2. Fisher, B.; Common fixed points on a Banach space, Chung Juan Journal, vol. XI (1982), 12-15.
3. Gohde, D.; Zum Prinzip der kontraktiven. Abbildung, Math. Nachr., 30 (1965), 251-258.
4. Gregus, Jr. M.; A fixed point theorem in Banach space. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (5) 17-A (1980), 193-198.
5. Hardy, G.E. and Rogers, T.D.; A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull., 16(1973), 201-206
6. Kirk, W.A.; Fixed point theory for non-expansive mappings, Springer Verlag Lecture Notes, Vol. 886 (1981).
7. Thirunavukkarasu.P, P.K.Eswari & R.Manjula, "Fixed Points for Cyclic Contractions in Symmetric Spaces and Partial Symmetric Spaces", Cikitusi Journal For Multidisciplinary Research, Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2019.
8. Thirunavukkarasu.P, P.K.Eswari, R.Manjula, "Common fixed point theorem for semi-compatible mappings in symmetric spaces", IJREAM, Vol.04 (06), 2018, 111-116.