

Generalized Inverse Rayleigh Reliability Estimation of (3+1) Cascade Model

Nada Sabah Karam^{1,a)} and Ahmed Mezher Marir^{2, b*)}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq .

a) Gmail : karamns6760@gmail.com

b) Gmail : ahmedmozher66@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author Email: ahmedmozher66@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper presents the R reliability mathematical formula of (3+1) Generalized inverse Rayleigh Cascade model . The reliability of the model is expressed by Generalized inverse Rayleigh random variables, which are stress and strength distributions. The reliability model was estimated by seven dissimilar methods (ML , Mo , LS , WLS , Rg , Pr and Pi) and simulation was performed using MATLAB 2012 program to compare the results of the reliability model estimates using the MSE criterion , the results indicated that the best estimator among the six estimators was ML and Pi.

Keywords: Standby redundancy , Parameter , Generalized inverse Rayleigh distribution , Unit , distributed Identically .

Introduction

Many researches have been performed on reliability estimation $R = p(X > Y)$ in the field of strength and stress models . The Cascade is a special kind of stress-strength model . Cascade redundancy is a hierarchical standby redundancy in which a standby unit with different stress substitutes for a system . When a system unit fails , it is replaced by a standby unit and the stress changed k Times the previous stress [11] . In a previous study Karam and Khaleel (2019) presented a study of (2+1) Cascade model, which the model consists of two main components and one redundancy standby . In this paper , we assumed that the (3+1) of Cascade with $(U_1, U_2, U_3 \text{ and } U_4)$ Units , in which three units $U_1, U_2, U_3 \text{ and } U_4$ are work and the unit U_4 is a standby unit . Assume that X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 denote the unit strengths $(U_1, U_2, U_3 \text{ and } U_4)$ respectively and Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 indicated the enforcement of stress . Here , if the active unit U_1 is a failure then the standby component U_4 is activated , where $X_4 = mX_1$ and $Y_4 = kY_1$, if the active unit U_2 is a failure then the standby component U_4 is activated , where $X_4 = mX_2$ and $Y_4 = kY_2$ and if the active unit R_3 is a failure then the standby component R_4 is activated , where $X_4 = mX_3$ and $Y_4 = kY_3$ Where " k " and " m " denote the stress and strength attenuation factors respectively , such that $0 < m < 1$ and $k > 1$ Reddy (2016) [19] presents of $R = p(X > Y)$ by discussing model stress – strength of a cascade , assuming all the parameters are independent and following Weibull stress-strength distribution in one parameter and calculating first four cascade reliability for different stress-strength values. Mutkekar and Munoli (2016)[16] , (1+1) exponential distribution cascade model is derived with the common effect of the force and stress reduction factors . Kumar and Vaish (2017) [14] , discussed that Gompertz distribution is stress and that strength is power distribution parameters . Karam and Khaleel (2018) [11] derived a special (2+1) stress-strength reliability cascade model for the distribution of Weibull . Khaleel and Karam (2019) [12] discussed the reliability of the (2+1) cascade inverse distribution Weibull model , reliability can be found when reverse Weibull random variables with unknown parameters scale and known shape parameter are distributed with strength-stress and used six different estimations method to estimate reliability . Karam and Khaleel (2019) [10] , expression for model confidence is found when strength and stress distribution are generalized in reversed Rayleigh random variable Rayleigh , derived

from mathematical formulas for Reliability to Special (2+1) . Khaleel (2021)[13] , (3+1) exponential distribution cascade model is derived with the common effect of the force and stress reduction factors .

The mathematical formula

Suppose , for the four units (three basic and one redundant standby) , the random strength-stress variables of the four units $j = 1,2,3,4$ each independently and identically distributed Generalized inverse Rayleigh of the parameter scale β_i , $i=1,2,3,4$ and scale μ_j , $j =1,2,3,4$

Generalized inverse Rayleigh Distribution

The properties of Generalized Inverse Rayleigh distribution $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ where β is shape parameter and α is scale parameter as :[8]

The PDF of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$f(x, \alpha, \beta) = 2\alpha\beta x^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}} ; x > 0 , \alpha, \beta > 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

The reliability function of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$R(x) = 1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

The hazard function of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$h(x) = \frac{2\alpha\beta x^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

The Mean of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$E(x) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

The Variance of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$Var(x) = \alpha\beta - \alpha\beta\pi^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

The Cumulative distribution function of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ is :

$$F(x) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}} ; x > 0 , \alpha, \beta > 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

The Cumulative distribution function of $GIR(\alpha, \mu)$ is :

$$G(y) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{y^2}} ; y > 0 , \alpha, \mu > 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

Reliability Model for Generalized inverse Rayleigh Distribution (R_{GIR})

Let $X_i \sim GIR(\alpha, \beta_i); i = 1,2,3,4$ and $Y_j \sim GIR(\alpha, \mu_j); j = 1,2,3,4$ be strength and stress random variables of the three components (three components are basic and one is standby)with unknown scale parameters β_i, μ_j and common known shape parameter α , where X_i and Y_j are independently and identically distributed Generalized inverse Rayleigh random variables.

The reliability function for (3+1) cascade model is :

$$R = P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3] + P[X_1 < Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] + P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 < Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] + P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 < Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4]$$

$$R = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

$$P_1 = P(X_1 \geq Y_1) = \int_{y_1} [1 - F_1(y_1)]f(y_1) dy_1$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta_1}{y_1^2}}\right) 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} dy_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} - 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{y_1^2}} dy_1 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} - 2 \frac{\mu_1 + \beta_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} \alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_1 + \beta_1)}{y_1^2}} dy_1 \\
 &= \left[e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_1 + \beta_1)}{y_1^2}} \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} \right) - (0 - 0) = \frac{\beta_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} \dots \dots \dots (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2 &= P(X_2 \geq Y_2) = \int_{y_2} [1 - F_2(y_2)] f(y_2) dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_2}{y_2^2}} \right) 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_2}{y_2^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_2}{y_2^2}} - 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_2}{y_2^2}} e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_2}{y_2^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_2}{y_2^2}} - 2 \frac{\mu_2 + \beta_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} \alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_2 + \beta_2)}{y_2^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \left[e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_2}{y_2^2}} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_2 + \beta_2)}{y_2^2}} \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} \right) - (0 - 0) = \frac{\beta_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} \dots \dots \dots (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_3 &= P(X_3 \geq Y_3) = \int_{y_3} [1 - F_3(y_3)] f(y_3) dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_3}{y_3^2}} \right) 2\alpha\mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_3}{y_3^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_3}{y_3^2}} - 2\alpha\mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_3}{y_3^2}} e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_3}{y_3^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha\mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_3}{y_3^2}} - 2 \frac{\mu_3 + \beta_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} \alpha\mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \beta_3)}{y_3^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \left[e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_3}{y_3^2}} - \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \beta_3)}{y_3^2}} \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} \right) - (0 - 0) = \frac{\beta_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} \dots \dots \dots (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{11} &= P[X_1 < Y_1, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= \int_{y_1} F_1(y_1) \left[1 - F_1\left(\frac{k}{m}y_1\right) \right] f(y_1) dy_1 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{y_1^2}} \left[1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{\left(\frac{k}{m}y_1\right)^2}} \right] 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} dy_1 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{y_1^2}} 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} - e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{y_1^2}} e^{\frac{-\alpha\beta_1}{\left(\frac{k}{m}y_1\right)^2}} 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha\mu_1}{y_1^2}} dy_1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^{\infty} 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} - 2\alpha\mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} dy_1 \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} 2\alpha \frac{\mu_1 + \beta_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} \mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha \frac{\mu_1 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1}{\mu_1 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1} \mu_1 y_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} dy_1 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_1+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1)}{y_1^2}} \right]_0^{\infty} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + \beta_1} - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1} \right) - (0 - 0) \\
 &= \frac{\mu_1 \beta_1 (\frac{m}{k})^2}{(\mu_1 + \beta_1) (\mu_1 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_1)} \dots \dots \dots (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{22} &= P[X_2 < Y_2, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= \int_{y_2} F_2(y_2) \left[1 - F_2\left(\frac{k}{m}y_2\right) \right] f(y_2) dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta_2}{(y_2)^2}} \left[1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta_2}{(\frac{k}{m}y_2)^2}} \right] 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\mu_2}{(y_2)^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta_2}{(y_2)^2}} 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\mu_2}{(y_2)^2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta_2}{y_1^2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha\mu_2}{(\frac{k}{m}y_2)^2}} 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\mu_2}{(y_2)^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} - 2\alpha\mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} 2\alpha \frac{\mu_2 + \beta_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} \mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha \frac{\mu_2 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2}{\mu_2 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2} \mu_2 y_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} dy_2 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2} e^{-\frac{\alpha(\mu_2+(1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2)}{(y_2)^2}} \right]_0^{\infty} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + \beta_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_2 + (1+(\frac{m}{k})^2)\beta_2} \right) - (0 - 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\mu_2 \beta_2 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\mu_2 + \beta_2) \left(\mu_2 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_2\right)} \dots \dots \dots (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{33} &= P[X_3 < Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= \int_{y_3} F_3(y_3) \left[1 - F_3\left(\frac{k}{m} y_3\right)\right] f(y_3) dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{-\alpha \beta_3}{(y_3)^2}} \left[1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha \beta_3}{\left(\frac{k}{m} y_3\right)^2}}\right] 2\alpha \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha \mu_3}{(y_3)^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{-\alpha \beta_3}{(y_3)^2}} 2\alpha \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha \mu_3}{(y_3)^2}} - e^{\frac{-\alpha \beta_3}{(y_3)^2}} e^{\frac{-\alpha \beta_3}{\left(\frac{k}{m} y_3\right)^2}} 2\alpha \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha \mu_3}{(y_3)^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} - 2\alpha \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2) \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \int_0^\infty 2\alpha \frac{\mu_3 + \beta_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha \frac{\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_3}{\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_3} \mu_3 y_3^{-3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2) \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} dy_3 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} - \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_3} e^{\frac{-\alpha(\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2) \beta_3)}{(y_3)^2}} \right]_0^\infty \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \beta_3} - \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_3} \right) - (0 - 0) \\
 &= \frac{\mu_3 \beta_3 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\mu_3 + \beta_3) \left(\mu_3 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) \beta_3\right)} \dots \dots \dots (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3] \\
 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1] P[X_2 \geq Y_2] P[X_3 \geq Y_3] \\
 R_1 &= P_1 P_2 P_3 \\
 \rightarrow R_1 &= \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1}\right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2}\right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3}\right] \dots \dots \dots (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= P[X_1 < Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= P[X_1 < Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, mX_1 \geq kY_1] \\
 &= P[X_1 < Y_1, mX_1 \geq kY_1] P[X_2 \geq Y_2] P[X_3 \geq Y_3] \\
 R_2 &= P_{11} P_2 P_3 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\mu_1 \beta_1 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_1 + \mu_1) \left(\beta_1 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_1\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2}\right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3}\right] \dots \dots \dots (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_3 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 < Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 < Y_2, X_3 \geq Y_3, mX_2 \geq kY_2] \\
 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1]P[X_2 < Y_2, mX_2 \geq kY_2]P[X_3 \geq Y_3] \\
 R_3 &= P_1 P_{22} P_3 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1} \right] \left[\frac{\mu_2 \beta_2 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_2 + \mu_2) \left(\beta_2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_2\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3} \right] \dots \dots \dots (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_4 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 < Y_3, X_4 \geq Y_4] \\
 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1, X_2 \geq Y_2, X_3 < Y_3, mX_3 \geq kY_3] \\
 &= P[X_1 \geq Y_1]P[X_2 \geq Y_2]P[X_3 < Y_3, mX_3 \geq kY_3] \\
 R_4 &= P_1 P_2 P_{33} \\
 &= \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2} \right] \left[\frac{\mu_3 \beta_3 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_3 + \mu_3) \left(\beta_3 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_3\right)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (18)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 \\
 &= \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\mu_1 \beta_1 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_1 + \mu_1) \left(\beta_1 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_1\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1} \right] \left[\frac{\mu_2 \beta_2 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_2 + \mu_2) \left(\beta_2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_2\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_3 + \mu_3} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1 + \mu_1} \right] \left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2 + \mu_2} \right] \left[\frac{\mu_3 \beta_3 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\beta_3 + \mu_3) \left(\beta_3 \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \mu_3\right)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (19)
 \end{aligned}$$

Parameters Estimation of Generalized inverse Rayleigh distribution.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method (ML)

Making the maximum likelihood was one of most important developments in 20th century statistics . In (1922) Fisher introduced the method of maximum likelihood . He first presented the numerical procedure in (1912) , but in (1922) the maximum likelihood method gave estimates satisfying the criteria of efficiency and sufficiency and there were two forms for sometimes Fisher based the likelihood on the distribution of the entire sample , sometimes on the distribution of a specific statistic .[3]

Suppose that a random sample $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution with sample size n , where β is unknown scale parameter and α is known shape parameter , then the likelihood function "L", the joint probability function with the general form , can be written as follows :[5]

$$L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \alpha, \beta) = f(X_1, \alpha, \beta) f(X_2, \alpha, \beta) \dots f(X_n, \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i, \alpha, \beta)$$

Then likelihood function using equation (1) will be as :

$$L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[2\alpha\beta x^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}} \right]$$

$$L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \alpha, \beta) = 2^n \alpha^n \beta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{-3} e^{-\alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2}} \dots \dots \dots (21)$$

Then natural logarithm function for equation (21) can be written as ;

$$\ln L = \ln \left[2^n \alpha^n \beta^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{-3} e^{-\alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2}} \right]$$

$$\ln L = n \ln 2 + n \ln \alpha + n \ln \beta - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i - \alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2} \dots \dots \dots (22)$$

To minimize , natural logarithm in equation (22) , must compute the great endings by taking partial derivative with respect to unknown scale parameter β , then will get as :

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{\beta} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2} \dots \dots \dots (23)$$

Equating partial derivative to zero , thus the right-hand side of (21) will be :

$$\rightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\beta}} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (24)$$

The maximum likelihood estimator for β is given by :

$$\rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{(ML)} = \frac{n}{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-2}} \dots \dots \dots (25)$$

In the same way above , let $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_m$ a random sample have $GIR(\alpha, \mu)$ distribution with the sample size m , then the maximum likelihood estimator of unknown scale parameter μ ; says $\hat{\mu}_{(ML)}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(ML)} = \frac{m}{\alpha \sum_{j=1}^m Y_j^{-2}} \dots \dots \dots (26)$$

Now , suppose that

$X_1 \sim GIR(\alpha, \beta_1)$, $X_2 \sim GIR(\alpha, \beta_2)$, $X_3 \sim GIR(\alpha, \beta_3)$ and $X_4 \sim GIR(\alpha, \beta_4)$
 are strengths r.v.'s with the samples sizes n_1, n_2, n_3 and n_4 respectively , where $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$
 are the unknown scale parameters and suppose that $Y_1 \sim GIR(\alpha, \mu_1)$, $Y_2 \sim GIR(\alpha, \mu_2)$, $Y_3 \sim GIR(\alpha, \mu_3)$
 and $Y_4 \sim GIR(\alpha, \mu_4)$ are the stresses r.v.'s with samples sizes m_1, m_2, m_3 and m_4 respectively ,
 where $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)$ are unknown scale parameters . By using the same way , the maximum
 likelihood estimators $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$ and $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)$ are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(ML)} = \frac{n_{\delta}}{\alpha \sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} X_{\delta i_{\delta}}^{-2}} , \delta = 1,2,3,4 \dots \dots \dots (27)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(ML)} = \frac{m_{\delta}}{\alpha \sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} Y_{\delta j_{\delta}}^{-2}} , \delta = 1,2,3,4 \dots \dots \dots (28)$$

Substituting (27) and (28) in (19) , the maximum likelihood estimator for reliability R ; $\hat{R}_{(ML)}$; invariability will be as :

$$\hat{R}_{GIR(ML)} = \hat{R}_{1(ML)} + \hat{R}_{2(ML)} + \hat{R}_{3(ML)} + \hat{R}_{4(ML)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(ML)}} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(ML)} \hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(ML)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(ML)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(ML)}} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(ML)} \hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(ML)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(ML)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(ML)}} \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(ML)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(ML)} \hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(ML)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(ML)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(ML)}\right)} \right] \\
 &\dots \dots \dots (29)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moments Estimation Method (Mo)

Karl Pearson in (1894) introduced a formal approach to the statistical estimation through his method of moments (Mo) estimation . He quite unceremoniously suggested a method that simply equal the first five sample moments to the respective population counterparts . It was not simple to solve five highly the nonlinear equations . Therefore , he took an analytical approach of removing one parameter in all step . After considerable algebra Pearson found a ninth degree polynomial equation in unknown one . Then after solving the equation and by reiterated back substitutions , Pearson found the solutions to five parameters in the terms of the five first sample moments , and was beginning of the moments method (Mo) estimation [4] . To derive method of the moments estimator parameters of GIRD , assume that $x_i, i = 1,2,3, \dots, n$ random sample have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution with the sample size n , first step the mean population of $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$, obtain by equation (4):[9]

$$E(X) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi \dots \dots \dots (30)$$

The second step equating mean sample with corresponding the mean population , then will get as :

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi \dots \dots \dots (31)$$

Then the moment estimator of β says $\hat{\beta}_{(Mo)}$ is :

$$\hat{\beta}_{(Mo)} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n \pi \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^2 \dots \dots \dots (32)$$

In the same manner , the moments estimator of unknown scale parameter μ ; says $\hat{\mu}_{(Mo)}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(Mo)} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i}{m \pi \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^2 \dots \dots \dots (33)$$

Now , by using the same technique , the moments estimators of the unknown scale parameters ($\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$) and (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(Mo)} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^n X_{i_{\delta}}}{n \pi \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^2, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \dots \dots \dots (34)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(Mo)} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^m Y_{i_{\delta}}}{m \pi \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^2, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \dots \dots \dots (35)$$

Substitution (34) and (35) in (19) , the moments estimator for reliability R_{GIR} ; says $\hat{R}_{GIR(MO)}$; approximately will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{GIR(MO)} &= \hat{R}_{1(MO)} + \hat{R}_{2(MO)} + \hat{R}_{3(MO)} + \hat{R}_{4(MO)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(MO)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(MO)} \hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(MO)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(MO)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(MO)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(MO)} \hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(MO)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(MO)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(MO)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(MO)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(MO)} \hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(MO)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(MO)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(MO)}\right)} \right] \\ &\dots \dots \dots (36) \end{aligned}$$

Least Squares Estimation Method (LS)

The German mathematician Carl Friedrich Gauss had inspected the least squares as early in (1794) , he did not publish the method until (1809) . This estimation method is very popular for the model fitting , especially in linear and non-linear regression . The method of least square estimator scan is produced by the minimizing sum of squares error between value and its expected value . [2] The least squares method is a combination of the parametric (F) and the non-parametric (\hat{F})

Distribution functions . The minimizing following equation : [6]

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\hat{F}(X_i) - F(X_i) \right)^2 \dots \dots \dots (37)$$

Suppose that $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n$ be a random sample have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution with the sample size n . The procedure attempts to minimize the following function with respect to α and β will get as :

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\hat{F}(X_i) - e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \right)^2 \dots \dots \dots (38)$$

To obtain the formula of $F(X_i)$; use the equation (6) :

$$F(X_i) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \rightarrow -\ln F(X_i) = \frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2} \dots \dots \dots (39)$$

On the other hand , since $\hat{F}(X_i)$ is unknown , it better to use $\hat{F}(X_{(i)})$ as follows $\hat{F}(X_{(i)}) = P_i$ and P_i is the plotting position Where

$$P_i = \frac{i}{n+1} ; i = 1, 2, \dots, n \dots \dots \dots (40)$$

Here $X_{(i)}$ is the i : th order statistics of the random sample of the size n from GIRD . Hence for the GIRD , to obtain the LS estimates $\hat{\beta}$ of the parameter β can be define following the function from equation (38) :

$$S(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(q_i - \frac{\alpha\beta}{X_{(i)}^2} \right)^2$$

Where $q_i = -\ln \hat{F}(X_{(i)}) = -\ln p_i \dots \dots \dots (41)$

By taking the derivative equation (41) with respect to the parameter β and equating result to the zero :

$$\frac{\partial S(\alpha, \beta)}{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left(q_i - \frac{\alpha\beta}{X_{(i)}^2} \right) \left(-\frac{\alpha}{X_{(i)}^2} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i}{X_{(i)}^2} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{X_{(i)}^4} = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (42)$$

Then the least squares estimator of β ; says $\hat{\beta}_{(LS)}$, will get as :

$$\hat{\beta}_{(LS)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i}{X_{(i)}^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{X_{(i)}^4}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (43)$$

In the same way , the least squares estimator of unknown parameter μ ; says $\hat{\mu}_{(LS)}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(LS)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{q_j}{Y_{(j)}^2}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{Y_{(j)}^4}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (44)$$

Where $\hat{G}(y_{(j)}) = \frac{j}{m+1}$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $q_j = -\ln \hat{G}(Y_{(j)}) = -\ln P_j$

Now , by using the same way , the last squares estimator of the unknown scale parameters $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(LS)} = \frac{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{q_{i_{\delta}}}{X_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^2}}{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{X_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^4}} \quad , \quad \delta = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (45)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(LS)} = \frac{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{q_{j_{\delta}}}{Y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^2}}{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{Y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^4}} \quad , \quad \delta = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (46)$$

Substitution (45) and (46) in (19) , the last squares estimator for reliability R_{GIR} says $\hat{R}_{GIR(LS)}$; approximately will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{(LS)} &= \hat{R}_{1(LS)} + \hat{R}_{2(LS)} + \hat{R}_{3(LS)} + \hat{R}_{4(LS)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(LS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(LS)} \hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(LS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(LS)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(LS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(LS)} \hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(LS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(LS)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(LS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(LS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(LS)} \hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(LS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(LS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(LS)}\right)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (47) \end{aligned}$$

Weighted Least Squares Estimation Method (WLS)

The method weighted least squares extend the method least squares procedure to case where the sample data have different variance . By other words , some the samples have more error or less influence than others . This method reflects the behavior of random errors in the model and it can be used with the functions that are either linear or nonlinear in parameters . It works by incorporating extra nonnegative weights or constants associated with all data point into the fitting criterion . The size of weight shows the precision of the information contained in associated observation [15]. The method of weighted last squares can be used in minimizing the following equation :[1]

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i (\hat{F}(X_i) - F(X_i))^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (48)$$

Where
$$W_i = \frac{1}{\text{Var}[F(X_{(i)})]} = \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)}{i(n-i+1)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \dots \dots \dots (49)$$

Let a random sample $(X_1, X_2, X_4, \dots, X_n)$ size n take from have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution . The procedure attempts to minimize the following function with respect to α and β will get as :

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \left(\hat{F}(X_i) - \left(e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}} \right) \right)^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (50)$$

As steps in equations (39) and (41) will get as :

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \left(q_i - \frac{\alpha\beta}{(x_{(i)})^2} \right)^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (51)$$

By taking partial derivative to the equation (51) with respect to β , and equating result to the zero we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n 2W_i \left(q_i - \frac{\alpha\beta}{(x_{(i)})^2} \right) \left(-\frac{\alpha}{(x_{(i)})^2} \right) \\ \rightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{W_i q_i}{(x_{(i)})^2} + \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{W_i \alpha}{(x_{(i)})^4} &= 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (52) \end{aligned}$$

The weighted least square estimator of β ; says $\hat{\beta}_{(WLS)}$:

$$\hat{\beta}_{(WLS)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{W_i q_i}{(x_{(i)})^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{W_i \alpha}{(x_{(i)})^4}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (53)$$

In the same technique , the weighted least squares estimator of unknown scale parameter μ ; says $\hat{\mu}_{(WLS)}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(WLS)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{W_j q_j}{(x_{(j)})^2}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{W_j \alpha}{(x_{(j)})^4}} \quad \text{Where } W_j = \frac{1}{\text{Var}[G(Y_{(j)})]} = \frac{(m+1)^2(m+2)}{j(m-j+1)}$$

$, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad \dots \dots \dots (54)$

Now , by using the same way , the weighted least squares estimators of the unknown scale parameters $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(WLS)} = \frac{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{W_{i_{\delta}} q_{i_{\delta}}}{(x_{(i_{\delta}))^2}}}{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{W_{i_{\delta}} \alpha}{(x_{(i_{\delta}))^4}}}, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (55)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(WLS)} = \frac{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{W_{j_{\delta}} q_{j_{\delta}}}{(y_{(j_{\delta}))^2}}}{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{W_{j_{\delta}} \alpha}{(y_{(j_{\delta}))^4}}}, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (56)$$

Substitution (55) and (56) in (19) , the weighted least squares estimator for reliability R_{GIR} ; says $\hat{R}_{GIR(WLS)}$; approximately will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{GIR(WLS)} &= \hat{R}_{1(WLS)} + \hat{R}_{2(WLS)} + \hat{R}_{3(WLS)} + \hat{R}_{4(WLS)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)} \hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)} \hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(WLS)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)} \hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(WLS)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(WLS)}\right)} \right] \\ &\dots \dots \dots (57) \end{aligned}$$

Regression Estimation Method (Rg)

Regression is one of the important procedures that uses supplementary information to construct estimators with a good efficiency . Regression is conceptually the simple method for examining functional relations among variables . The relations is expressed in form of an equation or the model connecting the response variable "Y" and one "X" or more expository variables . The simple true relations can be approximated by the standard regression equation :[17]

$$z_i = a + b\mu_i + e_i \quad \dots \dots \dots (58)$$

Where (z_i) is the dependent variable , (μ_i) is the independent variable and (e_i) is error random variable independent .

Assume that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n random samples have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$ with the sample size n . Taking the natural logarithm to CDF [18] , obtain by equation (6) :

$$F(X_i) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \rightarrow (F(X_i))^{-1} = e^{\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \rightarrow Ln(F(X_i))^{-1} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}$$

Estimating $F(X_{(i)})$ by P_i in equation (40)

$$Ln(P_i)^{-1} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{x_{(i)}^2} \quad \dots \dots \dots (59)$$

Comparing the equation (59) with equation (58),we get :

$$z_i = Ln(P_i)^{-1}, a = 0, b = \beta, u_i = \frac{\alpha}{x_{(i)}^2} \quad \dots \dots \dots (60)$$

Where ; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Where b can be estimated by the minimizing summation of the squared error with respect to b , then we get :

$$\hat{b} = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n z_i u_i - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \sum_{i=1}^n u_i}{n \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \right)^2} \dots \dots \dots (61)$$

By substitution (60) in (61) , the estimator for β ; says $\hat{\beta}_{(Rg)}$; is :

$$\hat{\beta}_{(Rg)} = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_{(i)}^2} \text{Ln}(P_i)^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_{(i)}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ln}(P_i)^{-1}}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\alpha}{x_{(i)}^2} \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_{(i)}^2} \right]^2} \dots \dots \dots (62)$$

In the same way , the regression estimator of unknown scale parameter μ ; says $\hat{\mu}_{(Rg)}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(Rg)} = \frac{m \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{y_{(j)}^2} \text{Ln}(P_j)^{-1} - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{y_{(j)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Ln}(P_j)^{-1}}{m \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\alpha}{y_{(j)}^2} \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{y_{(j)}^2} \right]^2} \dots \dots \dots (63)$$

As in equation (60) where $z_i = \text{Ln}(P_i)^{-1}$
 $, a = 0 , b = \mu , u_j = \frac{\alpha}{y_{(j)}^2} ; j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

Now , by using the same way above , the regression estimators of the unknown scale parameters $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and (μ_1, μ_2, μ_3) are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(Rg)} = \frac{n_{\delta} \sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{x_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^2} \text{Ln}(P_{i_{\delta}})^{-1} - \sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{x_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^2} \sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \text{Ln}(P_{i_{\delta}})^{-1}}{n_{\delta} \sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \left[\frac{\alpha}{x_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^2} \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{x_{\delta(i_{\delta})}^2} \right]^2} \dots \dots \dots (64)$$

$, \delta = 1, 2, 3, 4$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(Rg)} = \frac{m_{\delta} \sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^2} \text{Ln}(P_{j_{\delta}})^{-1} - \sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^2} \sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \text{Ln}(P_{j_{\delta}})^{-1}}{m_{\delta} \sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \left[\frac{\alpha}{y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^2} \right]^2 - \left[\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{y_{\delta(j_{\delta})}^2} \right]^2} \dots \dots \dots (65)$$

$, \delta = 1, 2, 3, 4$

Substitution (64) and (65) in (19) , the regression estimator for reliability R_W ; says $\hat{R}_{GIR(Rg)}$; approximately will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{GIR(Rg)} &= \hat{R}_{1(Rg)} + \hat{R}_{2(Rg)} + \hat{R}_{3(Rg)} + \hat{R}_{4(Rg)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)} \hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2}{\left(\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)} \right) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2 \right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)} \right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)} \hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2}{\left(\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)} \right) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2 \right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)} \right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Rg)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)} \hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(Rg)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(Rg)}\right)} \right] \dots \dots \dots (66)$$

Percentile Estimation Method (Pr)

The method was originally discovered by Kao (1958 – 1959) . In case of Generalized inverse Rayleigh distribution , let a random sample $X_i ; i = 1,2,3, \dots, n$ with size n have $GIR(\alpha, \beta)$, it is possible to use this method to obtain the estimator unknown scale parameter β , which is obtain from the CDF, defined in equation (6): [7]

$$F(X_i) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \rightarrow \ln F(X_i) = \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2} \rightarrow X_i = \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{-\ln F(X_i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots \dots \dots (67)$$

If $P_i ; i = 1,2, \dots, n$ put the plotting position instead of $F(X_i; \alpha, \beta)$.

Can be obtained by minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[X_{(i)} - \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \dots \dots \dots (68)$$

By taking partial derivative to the (68) with respect to β , and equating the result to zero we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \left[X_{(i)} - (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\beta})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(-\left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &= 0 \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left[X_{(i)} - (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left(-\left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &= 0 \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left(-\left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) X_{(i)} + (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right) \right] &= 0 \\ \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} X_{(i)} + (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_i} &= 0 \\ \rightarrow (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} X_{(i)} \rightarrow (\hat{\beta})^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} X_{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_i}} \end{aligned}$$

The percentile estimator of β ; says $\hat{\beta}$ becomes :

$$\hat{\beta}_{(pr)} = \left[\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} X_{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_i}} \right]^2 \dots \dots \dots (69)$$

In the name way above , the percentile estimator of the unknown parameter $\hat{\beta}$; says $\hat{\mu}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(pr)} = \left[\frac{-\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{(j)}}{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_j}} \right]^2 \dots \dots \dots (70)$$

Now , by using the same manner , the percentile estimators of the unknown scale parameter $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3)$ are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(pr)} = \left[\frac{-\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_{i_{\delta}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} X_{(i_{\delta})}}{\sum_{i_{\delta}=1}^{n_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_{i_{\delta}}}} \right]^2, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (71)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(pr)} = \left[\frac{-\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{-\ln p_{j_{\delta}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{(j_{\delta})}}{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{\ln p_{j_{\delta}}}} \right]^2, \delta = 1,2,3,4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (72)$$

Substitution (71) and (72) in (19), the Percentile estimator for reliability R_{GIR} ; says $\hat{R}_{GIR(pr)}$; approximately will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{GIR(pr)} &= \hat{R}_{1(pr)} + \hat{R}_{2(pr)} + \hat{R}_{3(pr)} + \hat{R}_{4(pr)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(pr)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(pr)} \hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(pr)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2 \right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(pr)} \right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(pr)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(pr)} \hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(pr)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2 \right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(pr)} \right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(pr)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(pr)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(pr)} \hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(pr)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(pr)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k} \right)^2 \right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(pr)} \right)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots \dots \dots (73)$$

Pitman Method

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample of n observations from a population whose p.d.f is $f(x, \alpha, \beta)$; where $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ is a scale parameter and $X_i > 0$, if $\hat{\beta} = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is the estimator of the scale parameter β , then $\hat{\beta}$ should be as follows : [20]

$$f(x, \alpha, \beta) = 2\alpha\beta x^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x^2}}$$

$$L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = f(X_1, \alpha, \beta) f(X_2, \alpha, \beta) \dots f(X_n, \alpha, \beta) \quad \dots \dots \dots (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(2\alpha\beta x_1^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_1^2}} \right) \left(2\alpha\beta x_2^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_2^2}} \right) \dots \dots \dots \left(2\alpha\beta x_n^{-3} e^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{x_n^2}} \right) \\ &= (2\alpha\beta)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{-3} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} \quad \dots \dots \dots (75) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^2} L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) d\beta}{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^3} L(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) d\beta} \quad \dots \dots \dots (76)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^2} (2\alpha\beta)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{-3} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^3} (2\alpha\beta)^n e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta} \\
 &= \frac{(2\alpha)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{-3} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^2} \beta^n e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta}{(2\alpha)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i)^{-3} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\beta^3} \beta^n e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta} \\
 &= \frac{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-2} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-3} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-\alpha\beta}{x_i^2}} d\beta} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-2} e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)\beta} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-3} e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)\beta} d\beta}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)\beta \rightarrow du = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right) d\beta$ and $\beta = \frac{u}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rightarrow \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-2} e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)\beta} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \beta^{n-3} e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)\beta} d\beta} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right)^{n-2} e^{-u} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right)^{n-3} e^{-u} d\beta} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)^{n-2} \int_0^\infty u^{n-2} e^{-u} d\beta}}{\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}\right)^{n-3} \int_0^\infty u^{n-3} e^{-u} d\beta}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right) \frac{\int_0^\infty u^{n-1-1} e^{-u} d\beta}{\int_0^\infty u^{n-2-1} e^{-u} d\beta} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right) \frac{\Gamma(n-1)}{\Gamma(n-2)} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right) \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-3)!} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}\right) \frac{(n-2)(n-3)!}{(n-3)!} = \frac{n-2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The pitman estimator of β ; says $\hat{\beta}$ becomes :

$$\hat{\beta}_{(pi)} = \frac{n-2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{x_i^2}} \dots \dots \dots (77)$$

In the name way above , the pitman estimator of the unknown parameter $\hat{\mu}$; says $\hat{\mu}$; is :

$$\hat{\mu}_{(pi)} = \frac{m-2}{\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\alpha}{y_j^2}} \dots \dots \dots (78)$$

Now , by using the same manner , the pitman estimators of the unknown scale parameter $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ and $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3)$ are :

$$\hat{\beta}_{\delta(pi)} = \frac{n_\delta-2}{\sum_{i_\delta=1}^{n_\delta} \frac{\alpha}{x_{i_\delta}^2}} \quad , \quad \delta = 1,2,3,4 \dots \dots \dots (79)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{\delta(Pi)} = \frac{m_{\delta} - 2}{\sum_{j_{\delta}=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{\alpha}{y_{j_{\delta}}^2}} \quad , \quad \delta = 1,2,3,4 \quad \dots \dots \dots (80)$$

Substituting (79) and (80) in (19) , the pitman estimator for reliability R ; $\hat{R}_{(Pi)}$; invariability will be as :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{GIR(Pi)} &= \hat{R}_{1(Pi)} + \hat{R}_{2(Pi)} + \hat{R}_{3(Pi)} + \hat{R}_{4(Pi)} \\ &= \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)} \hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)} \hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)}\right)} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)}} \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{1(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{1(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)}}{\hat{\beta}_{2(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{2(Pi)}} \right] \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)} \hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2}{(\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} + \hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)}) \left(\hat{\beta}_{3(Pi)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2\right) + \hat{\mu}_{3(Pi)}\right)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

... .. (81)

The experimental study

We simulate the outputs of all three estimating methods by using MSE . Study of simulation is replicated several times (500) so that the samples of three sizes (small , moderate and large) are independently collected.

Algorithm of Simulation

The simulation algorithms are written for estimating R using MATLAB program , according to the following steps :

- 1- The random sample $(x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1r_1}), (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2r_2}), (x_{31}, x_{32}, \dots, x_{3r_3}),$ and $(y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1v_1}), (y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2v_2}), (y_{31}, y_{32}, \dots, y_{3v_3})$ Of sizes $(r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3) = (15,15,15,15,15,15), (45,45,45,45,45,45)$ and $(95,95,95,95,95,95)$ are generated from generalized inverse Rayleigh distribution .
- 2- Selected the values of parameters for 6 experiments $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ in the table (1) :

Table 1. Values of parameters and Reliability , such that $\alpha = 0.5$

Experiment	k	m	β_1	β_2	β_3	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	R
1	1.8	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0773
2	1.8	0.2	2	2	2	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.1895
3	1.8	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	2	2	2	0.0457
4	1.2	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.1248
5	1.2	0.8	2	2	2	2	2	2	0.1932
6	1.5	0.5	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	0.1080

3-Parameters $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ were estimated (ML, Mo , LS , WLS , Rg , Pr and Pi) in equations : (27),(28),(34),(35),(45),(46),(55),(56),(64),(65),(71) and (72) respectively .

4- R was estimated in equations : (29),(36),(47),(57),(66),(73) and (81) .

5- Calculate the mean by Mean $= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^L R_i}{L}$

6- The last stage is to use the " Mean square Error " to assess the results of the seven estimation methods :

$$MSE(\hat{R}) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L (\hat{R}_i - R)^2$$

Simulation Results

After applying the previous steps of R for sample size $(r_1, r_2, r_3, v_1, v_2, v_3): (15,15,15,15,15,15), (95,95,95,95,95,95)$ and $(45,45,45,45,45,45)$

Table (2) : Values MSE and Mean for 6 experiments .

Ex p	Simple size	Criteria on	ML	MO	LS	WL S	Rg	Pr	Pi	Best
1	(15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.0008	0.0040	0.0214	0.0099	0.0003	0.0076	0.0008	ML,Pi
		Mean	0.0805	0.0848	0.1011	0.0925	0.0797	0.0891	0.0805	
		MSE	0.0003	0.0015	0.0201	0.0062	0.0004	0.0009	0.0003	
(45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.0003	0.0015	0.0201	0.0062	0.0004	0.0009	0.0003		

		Mean	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.077	0.080	0.0776	
			76	83	71	18	2	7		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.000	0.003	0.0001	ML,Pi
			01	10	83	69	2	8		
		Mean	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.077	0.082	0.0779	
			79	82	99	62	3	8		
2	(15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.004	0.017	0.0025	ML,Pi
			25	04	74	10	1	1		
		Mean	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.186	0.182	0.1907	
			07	54	32	30	7	5		
	(45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.001	0.011	0.0009	ML,Pi
			09	46	72	56	3	0		
		Mean	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.186	0.176	0.1883	
			83	30	53	09	8	4		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.000	0.010	0.0004	ML,Pi
			04	30	55	64	7	1		
		Mean	0.18	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.188	0.187	0.1888	
			88	00	98	57	88	8		
3	(15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.000	0.004	0.0004	ML,Pi
			04	22	58	63	6	6		
		Mean	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.048	0.059	0.0487	
			87	40	52	29	6	2		
	(45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.000	0.002	0.0001	ML,Pi
			01	07	44	35	2	1		
		Mean	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.046	0.051	0.0461	
			61	79	25	39	1	5		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.000	0.002	0.0001	ML,Pi, Rg
			01	05	26	43	1	2		
		Mean	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.046	0.055	0.0459	
			59	88	47	04	2	0		
4	(15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.012	0.0016	ML,Pi
			16	71	95	55	6	3		
		Mean	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.125	0.131	0.1278	
			78	93	62	41	8	5		
	(45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.000	0.007	0.0005	ML,Pi
			05	29	87	06	8	2		
		Mean	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.123	0.123	0.1246	
			46	34	06	20	8	1		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.000	0.006	0.0002	ML,Pi
			02	19	63	17	4	9		
		Mean	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.124	0.131	0.1245	
			45	76	78	40	8	3		
5	(15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.004	0.018	0.0027	ML,Pi
			27	10	90	20	3	0		
		Mean	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.190	0.187	0.1947	
			47	97	79	76	6	0		

	(45,45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.001	0.011	0.0009	ML,Pi
			09	49	88	64	4	6		
		Mean	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.190	0.180	0.1921	
			21	69	99	52	5	6		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.000	0.010	0.0004	ML,Pi
			04	32	71	73	7	7		
		Mean	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.192	0.192	0.1925	
			25	40	40	04	5	2		
6	(15,15,15,15,15,15,15)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.010	0.0013	ML,Pi
			13	58	62	33	1	4		
		Mean	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.109	0.116	0.1110	
			10	33	30	88	4	0		
	(45,45,45,45,45,45,45)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.000	0.005	0.0004	ML,Pi
			04	24	51	88	7	8		
		Mean	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.107	0.107	0.1080	
			80	74	78	74	3	8		
	(95,95,95,95,95,95,95)	MSE	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.000	0.005	0.0002	ML,Pi
			02	16	29	99	3	7		
		Mean	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.108	0.115	0.1079	
			79	09	61	82	1	0		

CONCLUSIONS

This conclusions according to the simulation study results :

1. We concluded from the table (1) .
 - I- With increasing value of parameter β , reliability is increasing .
 - II- With the increasing value of parameter μ , reliability is decreased.
 - III- With the decreasing value of $\frac{K}{M}$, reliability is increasing .
2. We concluded from the table (2) the best estimator for R is ML and Pi for 6 experiments and different sample sizes .

REFERENCE

1. Alqallaf F , Ghitany M E and Agostinelli C.(2015) , " **Weighted Exponential Distribution : Different Methods of Estimations** " , Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences An International Journal , Sci.9,No.3,pp.1167-1173.
2. Aldrich J.(1982) , " **Doing Least squares : and Yule Perspectives from Gauss**" , International statistical Review, Vol.66,No.1,p.p.61-81.
3. Aldrich J.(1997) , " **R.A.Fisher and the Making of Making of Maximum Likelihood 1912-1922** " , Statistical Science , Vol.12,No.3,pp.162-176.
4. Bera A K and Biliyas Y . (2002) , " The MM,ME,ML,EL,EF and GMM approaches to estimation : a synthesis " , Vol.107,pp.51-86.
5. Ferguso T S . (1982) , " **An Inconsistent Maximum Likelihood Estimate** " , Journal of the American Statistical Association , Vol.77, No.380, pp.830-834.
6. Guney Y and Arslan O. (2017) , " **Robust parameter estimation for the Marshall -Olkin extended BURR XII distribution** " , Commun . Fac.Sci.Univ. Ank.Series A1,Vol.66,No.2,pp.141-161.

7. Gupta R and Kundu D. (2000) , " **Generalized exponential distribution : Different Method of estimations** " , Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation ,Vol. 00 ,pp.1-22.
8. Jani H.H .(2016) , " **Reliability of some Multicomponent Stress-Strength Models with Empirical Studies** " , M.Sc.Thesis,Al-Mustansiriya University .
9. Karam N.S and Jani H H.(2016), " **Estimation of Reliability in Multi-Component Stress-Strength Model Following Burr-III Distribution** " , Journal of college of Education , No.1,pp.329-342.
10. Karam , N.S., and Khaleel , A.H., 2019 , **Generalized inverse Rayleigh reliability estimation for the (2+1) Cascade model** , AIP Conf . Proc . 2123 , 020046-1-11 .
11. Karam , N.S. , and Khaleel , A.H. , 2018 , **Weibull reliability estimation for (2+1) Cascade model** , International Journal of Advanced Mathematical Sciences . 6(1) 19-23 .
12. Khaleel , A.H. , and Karam , N.S. , 2019 , **Estimating the reliability function of (2+1) Cascade model** , Baghdad Science Journal , 16 (2) , 395-402 .
13. Khaleel , A.H. , 2021 , **Exponential Reliability Estimation of (3+1) Cascade Model** , MJPS , VOL.(8) , NO.(2), 46-54 .
14. Kumar , S and Vaish , M , 2017 **A Study of Strength – Reliability for Gompertz Distributed Stress** , International Journal of Statistics and System , 12 , 567-574.
15. Mutiu S . (2015) , " **Application of Weighted Least Squares Regression in Forecasting** " , International Journal of Recent Research in Interdisciplinary Sciences , Vol.2,No.3 , pp.45-54.
16. Mutkekar , R.R. , and Munoli , S.B., 2016 , **Estimation of Reliability for Stress – Strength Cascade Model** , Open Journal of Statistics , 6 , 873 – 881 .
17. Nasser A.D and Radaideh A.(2008) , " **Estimation of Simple Linear Regression Model Using L Ranked Set Sampling** " , International Journal of open problem in Computer Science and Mathematics , Vol.1,No.1,pp.18-33.
18. Ramk H.G .(2016), " **Stress-Strength Reliability Estimation For Component Under A Fixed Number of Stresses** " , M.Sc. Thesis , Al-Mustansiriya University .
19. Reddy , D. , 2016 , **Cascade and System Reliability for Exponential Distributions** , DJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Mathematics , 2 (2) , 1-8 .
20. Sabah H.Hasan , Abbas S. Faidh Allah , " **Pitman estimator for the parameter and reliability function of the exponential distribution** " Periodicals of Engineering and Natural Sciences , Vol.8,No.2,June(2020), pp.821-827.