

## $\Gamma$ -( $\lambda$ , $\delta$ )-N-Derivation on Prime $\Gamma$ -Near-Ring

**Hiba A. Ahmed**

Baghdad University

College of Science

Department of Mathematics

Baghdad

Iraq

\*E-mail: [hiba.ahmed@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq](mailto:hiba.ahmed@sc.uobaghdad.edu.iq)

**Received:** 2022 March 15; **Revised:** 2022 April 20; **Accepted:** 2022 May 10

---

### Abstract

This paper's primary goal is to define  $\mu$ -( $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ )-m-derivation in  $\mu$ -near-ring N and investigate a few properties. We also research and debate the commutativity of addition multiplication of prime  $\mu$ -near-ring with  $\mu$ -( $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ )-m-derivation.

**Subject Classification:** Primary 93A30, Secondary 49K15

---

**Keywords:**  $\mu$ -( $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ )-m-derivation, prime  $\mu$ -near-ring.

---

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper. A  $\mu$ - near ring were submitted in [1,5,6 ]. A  $\mu$ - near- ring N is called prime  $\mu$ -near-ring if N has the property that for  $s,r \in N$ ,  $\mu N \mu r = 0$  implies  $s= 0$  or  $r=0$  [6,7]. The other commutators are;  $[s,r]_\rho = spr - rps$  and  $(s, r) = s+r-s-r$  denote the additive-group commutator [4,7].  $\mu$ -near-ring N is called commutative if  $(N, +)$  is abelian [1,5,6]. Inspired by these concepts, Ashraf [2] introduced  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -n-derivation in near-rings and studied its various properties.

An n-additive mapping  $h: \underbrace{N \times N \times \dots \times N}_{n-times} \rightarrow N$  is called  $\mu$ -( $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ )-m-derivation ( $\mu$  -( $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ )-m-der.)

of  $N$  if there exist automorphism mappings  $\lambda, \delta: N \rightarrow N$  such that the relations

$$h(k_1 \gamma k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m) = h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m)$$

$$h(k_1, k_2 \gamma k_2 ', \dots, k_m) = h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_2 ') + \delta(k_2) \gamma h(k_1, k_2 ', \dots, k_m) :$$

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \gamma k_m ') = h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_m ') + \delta(k_m) \gamma h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m ')$$

hold for all  $k_1, k_1 ', k_2, k_2 ', \dots, k_m, k_m ' \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \mu$ . In this work, we defined the concept of  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -n-derivations in  $\mu$ -near-rings. We will give some important results to  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -n-derivations. Ashraf, Ali have proved some results on commutativity of prime near-ring with  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -n-derivations. In this paper we will work and study the result in [1,2,3], but in case  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-derivations we discuss the commutativity of addition and multiplication of prime  $\Gamma$ -near-ring .

## 2. Preliminaries.

This section starts with the lemmas that are necessary for constructing the proofs of our primary results. We recall prime  $\mu$ - near ring by  $\mu(N)$  and  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-derivation by  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. and automorphism by aut.

**Lem. 2.1[1,5].** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(N)$ . There is a component  $u$  of  $Z(N)$  such that

$u + u \in Z(N)$ , then  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

**Lemma 2.2:** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(N)$  and  $h$  be a  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. of  $N$ , then

$$(h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m)) \beta y = h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') \beta y + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta y, \text{ hold for every } k_1, k_1 ', k_2, k_2 ', \dots, k_n, k_n ', y \in N \text{ and } \gamma, \beta \in \mu.$$

**Proof:** For every  $k_1, k_1 ', y, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$ , we

$$\text{have } h((k_1 \gamma k_1 ') \beta y, k_2, \dots, k_m) = h(k_1 \gamma k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(y) + \delta(k_1 \gamma k_1 ') \beta h(y, k_2, \dots, k_m)$$

$$= (h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') +$$

$$\delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m)) \beta \lambda(y) + \delta(k_1) \gamma \delta(k_1 ') \beta h(y, k_2, \dots, k_m). \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Also, } (k_1 \gamma (k_1 ' \beta y), k_2, \dots, k_m) = h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ' \beta y) + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ' \beta y, k_2, \dots, k_m) =$$

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') \beta \lambda(y)$$

$$+ \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(y) + \delta(k_1) \gamma \delta(k_1 ') \beta h(y, k_2, \dots, k_m). \quad (2)$$

Combining equations (1) and (2), we get

$$(h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m)) \beta \lambda(y) =$$

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') \beta \lambda(y) + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(y)$$

Since  $\lambda$  is an aut. we get

$$(h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k_1 ') + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k_1 ', k_2, \dots, k_m)) \beta y =$$

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma \lambda(k'_1) \beta y + \delta(k_1) \gamma h(k'_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta y$$

Similarly, other (n-1) relations can be proved.

**Lemma 2.3:** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(N)$ ,  $h$  a non-zero  $\mu(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. of  $N$  and  $x \in N$ .

(i) If  $h(N, N, \dots, N) \gamma x = \{0\}$ , then  $k = 0$ .

(ii) If  $x \gamma h(N, N, \dots, N) = \{0\}$ , then  $k = 0$ .

**Proof:** (i) By supposition have

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma k = 0, \text{ for every } k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N \text{ and } \gamma \in \mu. \quad (3)$$

Taking  $k_1 \beta y$  in place of  $k_1$ , where  $y \in N$ , in eq. (3), using Lem. (2.2) obtain

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(y) \gamma k + \delta(k_1) \beta h(y, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma k = 0, \text{ for every } k_1, y, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N \text{ and } \gamma, \beta \in \mu.$$

Using eq. (3) again acquire  $h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(y) \gamma k = 0$ .

Where  $\lambda$  is an aut., then we have  $h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \Gamma N \Gamma k = \{0\}$ .

Since  $h \neq 0$ ,  $G$  is  $\mu(N)$  obtain  $x = 0$ . It can be demonstrated in a similar manner.

### 3. Main result.

**Th.3.1:** When  $N$  be  $\mu(N)$  and  $h_1, h_2$  be any two non-zero  $\mu(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. of  $N$ . If  $[h_1(N, N, \dots, N), h_2(N, N, \dots, N)]_\gamma = \{0\}$ , thus  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

**Proof:** Presume  $[h_1(N, N, \dots, N), h_2(N, N, \dots, N)]_\gamma = \{0\}$ .

When  $z$  and  $z + z$  commute element wise with  $h_2(N, N, \dots, N)$ , thus

$$z \gamma h_2(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = h_2(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma z, \text{ for every } k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N, \gamma \in \mu. \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Also}, (z + z) \gamma h_2(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) = h_2(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma (z + z) \quad (5)$$

Replacing  $k_1 + s$  for  $k_1$  in eq. (5) obtain

$$(z + z) \gamma h_2(k_1 + s, k_2, \dots, k_m) = h_2(k_1 + s, k_2, \dots, k_m) \gamma (z + z)$$

From eq. (4) and (5) the above eq. get

$$z \gamma h_2(k_1 + s - k_1 - s, k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0. \text{ Hence, } z \gamma h_2((k_1, s), k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0.$$

Taking  $z = h_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$  give  $h_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \gamma h_2((k_1, s), k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$ .

By Lem. (2.3), get  $h_2((k_1, s), k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$ , for every  $k_1, s, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$ . (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then for every } w \in G, w \beta(k_1, s) &= w \beta(k_1 + s - k_1 - s) = w \beta k_1 + w \beta s - w \beta k_1 - w \beta s \\ &= (w \beta k_1, w \beta s) = w \beta(k_1, s) \end{aligned}$$

$w \beta(k_1, s)$  is also additive commutator of  $N$ . Putting  $w \beta(k_1, s)$  instead of additive commutator  $(k_1, s)$  in eq. (6) obtain

$$h_2(w \beta(k_1, s), k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0, \text{ for every } k_1, s, k_2, \dots, k_m, w \in N \text{ and } \beta \in \mu.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } h_2(w, k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(k_1, s) + \delta(w) \beta h_2((k_1, s), k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$$

$$\text{Using eq. (4) in previous eq. yields } h_2(w, k_2, \dots, k_m) \beta \lambda(k_1, s) = 0$$

Since  $\lambda$  is an aut. employ Lem.(2.3) acquire( $k_1, s$ ) = 0. Then  $(N, +)$  is abelian.

**Th.3.2:** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(N)$ ,  $h\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. of  $N$ . If  $h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\lambda(y_1) = \delta(k_1)\gamma h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ , for every  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \mu$ , thus  $h = 0$ .

**Proof:** Presume for every  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \mu$ .

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\lambda(y_1) = \delta(k_1)\gamma h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m). \quad (7)$$

Substituting  $y_1\beta z_1$  for  $y_1$ , where  $z_1 \in N$  in eq. (7), acquire

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\lambda(y_1)\beta\lambda(z_1) = \delta(k_1)\gamma h(y_1\beta z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) =$$

$$\delta(k_1)\gamma h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)\beta\lambda(z_1) + \delta(k_1)\gamma\delta(y_1)\beta h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$$

Employ eq. (7) in above eq. obtains

$$\delta(x_1)\gamma\delta(y_1)\beta h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = 0, \text{ for every } x_1, z_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in N, \gamma, \beta \in \mu.$$

Since  $\delta$  is aut. of  $N$ , we get  $u\gamma v\beta h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = 0$ , wherever  $u, v \in G$ .

Now it's time to replace  $u$  by  $h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$  in pervious eq. obtain

$$h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \mu N \mu h(z_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = \{0\}. \text{ Since } N \text{ be } \mu(\mathcal{N}) \text{ take } h=0.$$

**Th. 3.3:** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(\mathcal{N})$  and  $h$  a non-zero  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. of  $N$ . If  $K = \{a \in N \mid [f(N, N, \dots, N), \delta(a)]_\gamma = \{0\}\}$ , give  $a \in K$  implies either  $h(a, a, \dots, a) = 0$  or

$$a \in Z(N).$$

**Proof:** We have

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\delta(a) = \delta(a)\gamma h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m),$$

for every  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$  and  $\gamma \in \mu$ . (8)

Taking  $a\beta k_1$  in place of  $x_1$  in eq. (8) and utilizing Lem.(2.2) obtains

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma\delta(a) + \delta(a)\beta h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\delta(a) =$$

$$\delta(a)\gamma h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1) + \delta(a)\gamma\delta(a)\beta h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$$

Employ eq. (8) in above eq. acquire

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma\delta(a) = \delta(a)\gamma h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1) \quad (9)$$

Putting  $k_1\gamma y_1$  for  $k_1$ , where  $y_1 \in N$  in eq. (9) employit again, procure

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma[\lambda(y_1), \delta(a)]_\gamma = 0, \text{ for every } k_1, y_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N \text{ and } \gamma, \beta \in \mu.$$

Since  $\lambda$  an aut., we have  $h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m) \mu G \mu [\lambda(y_1), \delta(a)]_\gamma = \{0\}$ .

Since  $\lambda$  and  $\delta$  are aut.,  $G$  is  $\mu(\mathcal{N})$  obtain

Either  $a \in Z(N)$  or  $h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$ , for all  $k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$ . As a result, in particular

$$h(a, a, \dots, a) = 0.$$

**Th.(3.4):** When  $N$  be a  $\mu(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $h$  a  $\mu$ - $(\lambda, \delta)$ -m-der. and  $a \in N$ . If  $[f(N, N, \dots, N), a]_{\lambda, \delta} = \{0\}$ , then either  $h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$  for every  $k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$  or  $a \in Z(N)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that

$$h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\lambda(a) = \delta(a)\gamma h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m), \text{ for every } k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N, \gamma \in \mu \quad (10)$$

Taking  $a\beta x_1$  in place of  $x_1$  in eq. (10) also taking Lem. (2.2) obtains

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma\lambda(a) + \delta(a)\beta h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)\gamma\lambda(a) =$$

$$\delta(a)\gamma h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1) + \delta(a)\gamma\delta(a)\beta h(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m).$$

Take eq. (10) in above eq. obtains

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma\lambda(a) = \delta(a)\gamma h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1) \quad (11)$$

Putting  $k_1\gamma y_1$  for  $k_1$ , where  $y_1 \in N$  in eq. (11) and using it again

$$h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\beta\lambda(k_1)\gamma[\lambda(y_1), \lambda(a)]_\gamma = 0, \text{ for every } k_1, y_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in N, \gamma \in \mu.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is an aut., we get  $h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m)\mu N \mu [\lambda(y_1), \lambda(a)]_\gamma = \{0\}$ .

Since  $\lambda$  is an aut.,  $G$  is  $\mu(N)$  yields either  $h(a, k_2, \dots, k_m) = 0$  for every  $k_2, \dots, k_m \in N$  or  $a \in Z(N)$ . The proof is now complete.

## References

- [1] Asci. M., “ $\Gamma$ -( $\sigma, \tau$ ) Derivation on Gamma Near Rings”, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 97-102, 2007.
- [2] Ashraf, M. and Siddeeque, M. A., “On ( $\sigma, \tau$ )-n-derivations in near-rings”, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, Vol.6, No. 4, 2013.
- [3] Asraf, M. and Siddeeque, M. A., “On permuting n-derivations in near-rings”, Commun. Kor. Math. Soc., Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 697–707, 2013.
- [4] Ashraf, M., Ali., A., and Ali, S., “( $\sigma, \tau$ )-Derivations of prime near-rings”, Arch.Math. (BRNO), Vol. 40, pp. 281–286, 2004.
- [5] L. Madhuchelvi, On Generalized Derivations in  $\Gamma$ -Near-Ring IntJr.of Mathematical sciences and Applications, ISSN N0, 6 (1) (2016) 2230-9888.
- [6] Y. U. Cho. and Y. B. Jun., Gamma-derivations in prime and semiprime gamma-near rings , Indian J. PureAppl. Math., 33(10) (2002) 1489–1494.
- [7] R. Ravi, V.K. Bhat and k. Neetu , Commutativity of prime  $\Gamma$ -Near Rings with  $\Gamma$ -( $\sigma, \tau$ )-derivation, Math , 25(2009) 165-173.
- [8] Shubham Sharma & Ahmed J. Obaid (2020) Mathematical modelling, analysis and design of fuzzy logic controller for the control of ventilation systems using MATLAB fuzzy logic toolbox, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 23:4, 843-849, DOI: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1727611.

**JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS**

Volume 13, No. 3, 2022, p. 3708-3713

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

- [9] Obaid A.J. (2022) Data Mining Analysis Models Based on Prospective Detection of Infectious Disease. In: Sharma D.K., Peng SL., Sharma R., Zaitsev D.A. (eds) Micro-Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 373. Springer, Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8721-1\\_41](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8721-1_41)
- [10] Azarshab M, Ghazanfari M, Heidarpoor F. An Intelligent Fuzzy Logic Based Traffic Controller. sjfst. 2021; 3 (1) :10-17  
URL: <http://sjfst.srpub.org/article-6-96-fa.html>