

On Intuitionistic I – Open Sets In Intuitionistic Topological Spaces

J. Arul Jest¹, P. Suganya²

¹Assistant Professor, ²Research Scholar, Reg.No.19222212092015,
 Department of Mathematics, St. Mary's College(Autonomous),
 (Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti -627012, Tirunelveli)
 Thoothukudi-1,TamilNadu, India
¹aruljesti@gmail.com
²suganyaprince20@gmail.com

Received 2022 March 25; **Revised** 2022 April 28; **Accepted** 2022 May 15.

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to provide the notion of Intuitionistic i -open sets in Intuitionistic topological spaces and study the relation with some existing Intuitionistic open sets. Additionally, we expounded some properties of Intuitionistic i -open sets in Intuitionistic topological spaces.

MSC 2010 : 54A05

Keywords Intuitionistic i -open sets, Intuitionistic i -closed sets, Intuitionistic i -interior, Intuitionistic i -closure, Intuitionistic i -neighborhood

1. Introduction

The idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov[1]. Notion of membership and non membership were discovered by Coker [3] in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, subsequently he modified the crisp sets in entire forms. Later, Coker [5] introduced the intuitionistic topological spaces using intuitionistic sets. This paper is an attempt to define the conception of intuitionistic i -open sets in intuitionistic topological spaces and some characterizations of intuitionistic i -open sets are discussed. Besides, we relate intuitionistic i -open sets with other existing intuitionistic open sets in intuitionistic topological spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [2]. Let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty set. An intuitionistic set (IS for short) \mathcal{H} is an object having the form $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are subsets of \mathcal{K} satisfying $\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2 = \emptyset$. The set \mathcal{H}_1 is called the set of members of \mathcal{H} , while \mathcal{H}_2 is called set of non members of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.2 [2]: Let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty set and \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are intuitionistic set in the form $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \rangle$ respectively. Then

1. $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ iff $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \supseteq \mathcal{G}_2$
2. $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$ iff $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$
3. $\mathcal{H}^c = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1 \rangle$
4. $\tilde{\emptyset} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \mathcal{K} \rangle$, $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}, \emptyset \rangle$
5. $\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \cap \mathcal{G}_2 \rangle$
6. $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 \rangle$.

Furthermore, let $\{A_\alpha / \alpha \in \mathbb{J}\}$ be an arbitrary family of intuitionistic sets in \mathcal{K} , where $A_\alpha = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(2)} \rangle$. Then

- (i) $\cap \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \langle \mathcal{K}, \cap \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(1)}, \cup \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(2)} \rangle$

$$(ii) \cup \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \langle \mathcal{K}, \cup \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(1)}, \cap \mathcal{H}_\alpha^{(2)} \rangle$$

Definition 2.3 [5]: An intuitionistic topology is (for short IT) on a non-empty set \mathcal{K} is a family τ of intuitionistic sets in \mathcal{K} satisfying following axioms.

$$1) \emptyset, \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \in \tau$$

$$2) \mathcal{G}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_2 \in \tau, \text{ for any } \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \in \tau$$

3) $\cup \mathcal{G}_\alpha \in \tau$ for any arbitrary family $\{\mathcal{G}_i : \mathcal{G}_\alpha / \alpha \in I\}$ where (\mathcal{K}, τ) is called an intuitionistic topological space and any intuitionistic set is called an intuitionistic open set (for short IOS) in \mathcal{K} . The complement \mathcal{H}^c of an IOS of \mathcal{H} is called an intuitionistic closed set (for short ICS) in \mathcal{K} .

Definition 2.4 [5]: Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an intuitionistic topological space and $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle$ be an IS in \mathcal{K} . Then the \mathcal{I} -interior and \mathcal{I} -closure of \mathcal{H} are defined by

$$\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{H}) = \cup \{\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} \text{ is an IOS in } \mathcal{K} \text{ and } \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H}) = \cap \{\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{S} \text{ is an ICS in } \mathcal{K} \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H})$ is an ICS and $\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{H})$ is an IOS in \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} is an ICS in \mathcal{K} iff $\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{H} is an IOS in \mathcal{K} iff $\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.5 [6]: Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an intuitionistic topological space. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{K} is said to be

Intuitionistic semi-open if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{H}))$.

Intuitionistic pre-open if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H}))$.

Intuitionistic α -open if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{H})))$.

Intuitionistic β -open if $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{I}int(\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H})))$.

The family of all intuitionistic semi-open, intuitionistic pre-open, intuitionistic α -open and intuitionistic β -open sets of (\mathcal{K}, τ) are denoted by $\mathcal{I}SOS$, $\mathcal{I}POS$, $\mathcal{I}\alpha OS$, and $\mathcal{I}\beta OS$ respectively.

Definition 2.6 [8]: A subset \mathcal{M} of intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is called an intuitionistic w -closed set (briefly $\mathcal{I}w$ -closed) if $\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ whenever $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is intuitionistic semi-open in \mathcal{K} .

Definition 2.7 [9]: A subset \mathcal{M} of intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is called an intuitionistic generalized-closed set (briefly $\mathcal{I}g$ -closed) if $\mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ whenever $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{I} -open in \mathcal{K} .

Definition 2.8 [2]: Let \mathcal{K} be a non empty set and $p \in \mathcal{K}$ a fixed element in \mathcal{K} . Then the intuitionistic set $\tilde{p} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{p\}, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called intuitionistic point and $\tilde{\tilde{p}} = \langle x, \emptyset, \{p\}^c \rangle$ is called intuitionistic vanishing point.

Definition 2.9 [2]: Let $p \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set. Then

$$(i) \tilde{p} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \text{ iff } \tilde{p} \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

$$(ii) \tilde{\tilde{p}} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \text{ iff } \tilde{\tilde{p}} \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

3. Intuitionistic i-open Sets

Definition 3.1: An intuitionistic set \mathcal{D} of an Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is named as intuitionistic i -open set (shortly $\mathcal{I}i$ -open set) if there exist an intuitionistic open set $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{H})$. The complement of $\mathcal{I}i$ -open set is called $\mathcal{I}i$ -closed set. The set of all intuitionistic i -open sets of (\mathcal{K}, τ) is denoted by $\mathcal{I}iO$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{r, s, t\}$ with a family $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3\}$ where $\mathcal{H}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{r\}, \{t\} \rangle$, $\mathcal{H}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{r, s\}, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{H}_3 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{r, t\} \rangle$. The Intuitionistic i -open sets are all the intuitionistic subsets of \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 3.3. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{D} of an Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is an intuitionistic i -closed set iff $\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ where \mathcal{F} is intuitionistic closed set.

Proof : Let \mathcal{D} be an intuitionistic i -closed set. Then, $\mathcal{D}^c = \mathcal{G}$ is intuitionistic i -open. By the definition of intuitionistic i -open there exists an intuitionistic open set $\mathcal{H} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{D}^c \subseteq \text{Jcl}(\mathcal{D}^c \cap \mathcal{H}) = (\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}^c))^c$ which implies $\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{H}^c) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^c = \mathcal{F}$ where \mathcal{F} is intuitionistic closed set. Then, $\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Conversely, Let \mathcal{F} be intuitionistic

closed set such that $\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^c = \mathcal{H}$ is intuitionistic open set. $\text{Jint}(\mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{F}) = (\text{Jcl}(\mathcal{D}^c \cap \mathcal{F}^c))^c \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ which implies $\mathcal{D}^c \subseteq \text{Jcl}(\mathcal{D}^c \cap \mathcal{H})$ which implies \mathcal{D}^c is intuitionistic i -open. Hence, \mathcal{D} is intuitionistic i -closed.

Theorem 3.4. Each intuitionistic open set is intuitionistic i -open set.

Proof : Assume \mathcal{H} be an intuitionistic open set. Then $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Jcl}(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H})$. Hence, \mathcal{H} is an intuitionistic i -open set.

Remark 3.5. The reverse implication is not true.

Example 3.6. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{m, n\}$ with a family $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2\}$ where $\mathcal{R}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{n\}, \{m\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{m\} \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open set but, not an intuitionistic open set.

Corollary 3.7. Each intuitionistic closed set is intuitionistic i -closed set.

Theorem 3.8. Every intuitionistic regular open set is intuitionistic i -open set.

Proof : Let \mathcal{U} be an intuitionistic regular open set. Since every intuitionistic regular open is intuitionistic open and by theorem 3.4., \mathcal{U} is intuitionistic i -open set.

Remark 3.9. The reverse implication is not true.

Example 3.10. Consider example 3.6. Here $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{n\}, \{m\} \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open but not an intuitionistic regular open set.

Corollary 3.11. If \mathcal{U} is intuitionistic regular closed set, then \mathcal{U} is intuitionistic i -closed set.

Theorem 3.12: Every intuitionistic semi open set is intuitionistic i -open set.

Proof : Take \mathcal{B} be an intuitionistic semi open set. Then there exists an intuitionistic open set \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Jcl}(\mathcal{G})$. Since $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Jcl}(\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{B})$. Hence, \mathcal{B} is intuitionistic i -open.

Remark 3.13. The reverse implication is not true.

Example 3.14. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\kappa, \lambda\}$ with $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3\}$ where $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{\lambda\} \rangle$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{\kappa\}, \{\lambda\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}_3 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{\kappa\}, \emptyset \rangle$. Here $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{\kappa\} \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open set but, not an intuitionistic semi-open set.

Theorem 3.15. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{S} is intuitionistic i -open set whenever \mathcal{S} is intuitionistic α -open set.

Proof : Let \mathcal{H} be an intuitionistic α open set. Since every intuitionistic α open set is intuitionistic semi open and by theorem 3.12., \mathcal{H} is intuitionistic i -open set.

Remark 3.16. The reverse implication is not true.

Example 3.17. Consider example 3.14. Here, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open but not an intuitionistic α -open set.

Corollary 3.18. Every intuitionistic i -closed set is intuitionistic α -closed set.

Theorem 3.19. Union of two intuitionistic i -open sets are intuitionistic i -open set.

Proof: Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be two intuitionistic i -open sets. Then there exist an intuitionistic open set \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C})$. Now $\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{C}) \cup \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{I}cl((\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C})) = \mathcal{I}cl((\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{C})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}$ is intuitionistic i -open set.

Corollary 3.20. Intersection of two intuitionistic i -closed sets are intuitionistic i -closed set.

Proof: Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{L} be two intuitionistic i -closed sets. Then, \mathcal{F}^c and \mathcal{L}^c are intuitionistic i -open sets. By the above theorem, $\mathcal{F}^c \cup \mathcal{L}^c = (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{L})^c$ is intuitionistic i -open. Hence, $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is intuitionistic i -closed set.

Remark 3.21. Intersection of intuitionistic i -open sets are not intuitionistic i -open.

Example 3.22. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{17, 19, 21\}$ with $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{V}_3\}$ where $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{17\}, \{19\} \rangle$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{19\} \rangle$, $\mathcal{V}_3 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{17, 21\}, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}_4 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{17\}, \emptyset \rangle$. Here, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{19\}, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{19, 21\}, \{17\} \rangle$ are intuitionistic i -open sets but, their intersection $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{19\}, \{17\} \rangle$ which is not intuitionistic i -open.

Remark 3.23. Union of intuitionistic i -closed sets are not intuitionistic i -closed sets.

Example 3.24. Consider example 3.22. $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{17\}, \{19, 21\} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{19\} \rangle$ are intuitionistic i -closed sets but, their union $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{17\}, \{19\} \rangle$ which is not intuitionistic i -closed set.

Remark 3.25. Intuitionistic i -open and Intuitionistic pre-open are independent.

Remark 3.26. Intuitionistic i -open and Intuitionistic β -open are independent.

Example 3.27. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\zeta, \eta\}$ with $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2\}$ where $\mathcal{R}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \zeta, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$. Here, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \eta, \emptyset \rangle$ is both intuitionistic pre-open and intuitionistic β -open but not an intuitionistic i -open set. Also, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \zeta \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open but not intuitionistic pre-open and intuitionistic β -open sets. Hence, intuitionistic pre-open and intuitionistic i -open, intuitionistic β -open and intuitionistic i -open are independent.

Remark 3.26. Intuitionistic i -open and Intuitionistic g -open are independent.

Remark 3.27. Intuitionistic i -open and Intuitionistic w -open are independent.

Example 3.28. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\Gamma, \Delta\}$ with $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2\}$ where $\mathcal{S}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{S}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \Delta, \emptyset \rangle$. Here, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \Gamma, \emptyset \rangle$ is both intuitionistic g -open and intuitionistic w -open but not an intuitionistic i -open set. Also, $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \Delta \rangle$ is intuitionistic i -open but not intuitionistic g -open and intuitionistic w -open sets. Hence, intuitionistic g -open and intuitionistic i -open, intuitionistic w -open and intuitionistic i -open are independent.

Definition 3.29. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{D} of an Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is named as intuitionistic $i\alpha$ -open set (shortly $\mathcal{I}i\alpha$ -open set) if there exist an intuitionistic alpha open set $\mathcal{H} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{H})$.

Definition 3.30. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{G} of an Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is called as intuitionistic is -open set if there exist an intuitionistic semi open set $\mathcal{M} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{M})$.

Definition 3.31. An intuitionistic set \mathcal{M} of an Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is said to

be an intuitionistic ip -open set if there exist an intuitionistic pre-open set $\mathcal{P} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{I}cl(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{P})$.

Theorem 3.32. Every intuitionistic i -open set is intuitionistic $i\alpha$ -open set (respectively intuitionistic is -open set, intuitionistic ip -open set).

Proof : Let \mathcal{R} be an intuitionistic i -open set. Then there exist an intuitionistic open set $\mathcal{M} \neq \tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{Jcl}(\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M})$. Since every intuitionistic open set is intuitionistic α open set(respectively intuitionistic semi open, intuitionistic pre-open), \mathcal{R} is intuitionistic $i\alpha$ -open set(respectively intuitionistic is -open set, intuitionistic ip -open set).

Remark 3.33. The reverse implication is false.

Example 3.34. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{4, 8\}$ with a family $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2\}$ where $\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{8\}, \{4\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{4\} \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \{8\} \rangle$ is intuitionistic $i\alpha$ -open set but, not an intuitionistic i -open set.

Example 3.35. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{e, f\}$ with a family $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2\}$ where $\mathcal{T}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{f\}, \emptyset \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{e\}, \emptyset \rangle$ is intuitionistic ip -open set but, not an intuitionistic i -open set.

Example 3.36. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{l}\}$ with a family $\tau = \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3\}$ where $\mathcal{S}_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \emptyset, \mathbb{l} \rangle$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{\mathbb{k}\}, \{\mathbb{l}\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{S}_3 = \langle \mathcal{K}, \{\mathbb{k}\}, \emptyset \rangle$. $\langle \mathcal{K}, \{\mathbb{l}\}, \{\mathbb{k}\} \rangle$ is intuitionistic is -open set but, not an intuitionistic i -open set.

Theorem 3.37. If A is intuitionistic i -open and B is intuitionistic open(respectively intuitionistic α -open, intuitionistic semi open, intuitionistic regular open) then $A \cup B$ is intuitionistic i -open.

Proof : Obvious

4. Some characterizations on Intuitionistic i -open sets

Definition 4.1. Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an Intuitionistic topological space and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. The intuitionistic i -interior of \mathcal{H} is defined as the union of all intuitionistic i -open sets contained in \mathcal{H} and is denoted by $Jint_i(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that $Jint_i(\mathcal{H})$ is the largest intuitionistic i -open set, for any subset \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 4.2. Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an ITS and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Then

1. $Jint_i(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.
2. $Jint_i(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq Jint_i(\mathcal{G})$
3. \mathcal{H} is intuitionistic i -open if and only if $\mathcal{H} = Jint_i(\mathcal{H})$
4. $Jint_i(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G}) = Jint_i(\mathcal{H}) \cup Jint_i(\mathcal{G})$
5. $Jint_i(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{G}) = Jint_i(\mathcal{H}) \cap Jint_i(\mathcal{G})$

Definition 4.3. Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an ITS and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. The intuitionistic i -closure of \mathcal{H} is defined

as the intersection of all intuitionistic i -closed sets in \mathcal{K} containing \mathcal{H} , and is denoted by $\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H})$. It is clear that $\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H})$ is the smallest intuitionistic i -closed set for any subset \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 4.4. Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an ITS and let $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Then

1. $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H})$
2. $\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{G})$
3. \mathcal{H} is intuitionistic i -closed if and only if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H})$
4. $\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H}) \cup \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{G})$
5. $\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{G})$

Proposition 4.5. Let \mathcal{G} be any subset in a Intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) , then the listed characteristics are true.

- (i) $Jint_i(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{U} - (\mathcal{Jcl}_i(\mathcal{G}))$

$$(ii) \mathcal{I}cl_i(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{U} - (\mathcal{I}int_i(\mathcal{G}))$$

Proof: (i) By definition, $\mathcal{I}cl_i(\mathcal{G}) = \cap \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \text{ is an intuitionistic } i\text{-closed set}\}$

$$\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{I}cl_i(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{U} - \cap \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \text{ is an intuitionistic } i\text{-closed set}\} = \cup \{\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \text{ is an intuitionistic } i\text{-closed set}\} = \cup \{\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K} \text{ is an intuitionistic } i\text{-open set}\} = \mathcal{I}int_i(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{G})$$

(ii) The proof is similar to (i)

Definition 4.6. A subset \mathcal{Z} of an intuitionistic topological space (\mathcal{K}, τ) is called an intuitionistic i -neighbourhood of a point p of \mathcal{K} if there exists an intuitionistic i -open set \mathcal{H} containing p such that $p \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{Z}$.

Definition 4.7. Let (\mathcal{K}, τ) be an ITS, $p \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{IS}(\mathcal{K})$.

(i) \mathcal{Z} is called an intuitionistic i -neighbourhood of \tilde{p} if there exists an intuitionistic i -open set

$$\mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \tilde{p} \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{Z}.$$

(ii) \mathcal{Z} is called an intuitionistic i -neighbourhood of $\tilde{\tilde{p}}$ if there exists an intuitionistic i -open set

$$\mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \tilde{\tilde{p}} \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{Z}.$$

We denote the set of all intuitionistic i -neighborhood of \tilde{p} (respectively $\tilde{\tilde{p}}$) by $N_i(\tilde{p})$ (respectively $N_i(\tilde{\tilde{p}})$)

Theorem 4.8. Every intuitionistic neighborhood \mathcal{M} of \tilde{p} (respectively $\tilde{\tilde{p}}$) is an intuitionistic i -neighborhood of \tilde{p} (respectively $\tilde{\tilde{p}}$).

Proof: Let \mathcal{M} be an intuitionistic neighborhood of point $p \in \mathcal{K}$. By definition of intuitionistic

neighborhood, there exists an intuitionistic open set \mathcal{R} such that $p \in \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Since every intuitionistic open is intuitionistic i -open, \mathcal{M} is a $\mathcal{I}i$ -neighborhood of p .

References

- [1] K Atanassov, 1986 Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 pp 87-66
- [2] D Coker 1996 A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points Turkish J. Math. 20 pp 343-351
- [3] D Coker 1997 An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 88 pp 81-89
- [4] D Coker On Neighborhood Structures in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces, Mathematica Balkanica, New Series, Vol. 12, 1998, pp 284-293
- [5] D Coker 2000 An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces Busefal 81 pp 51-56
- [6] Y. Gnanambal On generalized preregular closed sets in topological spaces. Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 28(3)(1997), 351-360.
- [7] A.A. Mohammed, and S.W. Askandar, (2012), "On i -open sets", UAE Math Day Conference, American Univ. of Sharjah, April 14.
- [8] Taha H. Jassim Samer R. Yaseen Luma S. AbdualBaqi. Some Generalised Sets and Mappings in Intuitionistic Topological Spaces., Journal of AL-Qadisiyah for computer science and mathematics Vol.7 No.2 Year 2015
- [9] Younis J.Yaseen and Asmaa G. Raouf, On generalization closed set and generalized continuity on intuitionistic topological spaces, J. of al-anbar university for pure science, Vol.3, No.1 (2009)